Neutrinos and Gravitational Waves from Core-Collapse Supernovae

B. Müller, MPA Garching

Report on work within the MPA core-collapse group by F. Hanke, H.-Th. Janka, A. Marek, B. Müller, E. Müller & A. Wongwathanarat

Major Issues in Supernova Physics

- How does the "engine" work?
- What can we observe?
 - Neutrinos
 - Gravitational waves (?)
 - Ejecta morphology
 - Pulsar kicks
 - Nucleosynthesis yields







Modelling Core-Collapse Supernovae

- Complex interplay of:
 - Neutrino transport
 - Muti-D hydrodynamic
 - Strong-field gravity (general relativity)
 - Nuclear & particle physics
- Various approaches around:
 - "Self-consistent" models, i.e. with energy-dependent transport (Boltzmann/variable Eddington factor/diffusion/IDSA, see also prec. talks)
 - More or less severely parametrized models (e.g. simplified neutrino transport, inner boundary instead of neutron star surface,...)



Hydrodynamic instabilities in core-collapse supernovae: convection & SASI

The Garching Approach to Neutrino Transport in Core-Collapse SNe

- Current status: multi-dimensional (2D) GR hydro and energy-dependent neutrino transport for core-collapse supernovae combined for the first time (best so far: modified gravitational potential + transport)
- Hydro and metric: CoCoNuT code (Dimmelmeier et al. 2002)
 - HRSC scheme with PPM reconstruction, HLLC solver
 - Metric in xCFC approximation (Cordero-Carrión et al. 2009, very accurate for core collapse case), but extendible to maximally constrained formulation of the field equations (Bonazzola et al. 2004, Cordero-Carrión 2010)
 - Graviational extraction modified with quadrupole formula at the moment
- Neutrino transport: based on VERTEX code (Rampp et al. 2002)
 - Energy-dependent GR transport with variable Eddington factor method and ray-by-ray-plus method for multi-dimensional case
 - Up-to-date set of interaction rates

Neutrino moment equations

$$\frac{\partial W(J + v, \dot{H})}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\left(W_{\phi \sigma}^{2} - \beta, v_{0} \right) \dot{H} + \left(W_{v, \sigma}^{2} - \beta, j \right) - (228) \right] \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ W_{c} J \left[\frac{1}{r} \left(\beta, -\frac{\alpha v_{0}}{\phi \sigma} \right) + 2 \left(\beta, -\frac{\alpha v_{0}}{\phi \sigma} \right) \frac{\partial \ln \omega}{\partial r} - 2 \frac{\partial \ln \omega}{\partial m} \right] + W_{c} \dot{H} \left[v_{c} \left(\frac{\partial \beta, \phi^{2}}{\partial r} - 2 \frac{\partial \ln \omega}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \ln \omega}{\partial r} - 2 \frac{\partial \ln \omega}{\partial r} \right] - (229) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ W_{c} J \left[\frac{1}{r} \left(\beta, -\frac{\alpha v_{0}}{\phi \sigma} \right) + 2 \left(\beta, -\frac{\alpha v_{0}}{\phi \sigma} \right) \frac{\partial \ln \omega}{\partial r} - 2 \frac{\partial \ln \omega}{\partial r} \right] - (229) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ W_{c} J \left[\frac{1}{r} \left(\beta, -\frac{\alpha v_{0}}{\phi \sigma} \right) + 2 \left(\beta, -\frac{\alpha v_{0}}{\phi \sigma} \right) \frac{\partial \ln \omega}{\partial r} - 2 \frac{\partial \ln \omega}{\partial r} + \alpha W^{2} \left(\beta, \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r} \right) \right] - (229) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ W_{c} J \left[\frac{1}{r} \left(\beta, -\frac{\alpha v_{0}}{\partial r} \right) + 2 \left(\beta, -\frac{\alpha v_{0}}{\phi \sigma} \right) \frac{\partial \ln \omega}{\partial r} - 2 \frac{\partial \ln \omega}{\partial r} + \alpha W^{2} \left(\beta, \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r} \right) \right] - (229) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ W_{c} J \left[\frac{1}{r} \left(\beta, -\frac{\alpha v_{0}}{\partial r} \right) + 2 \left(\beta, -\frac{\alpha v_{0}}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r} \right) \right] - (219) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ W_{c} J \left[\frac{1}{r} \left(\beta, -\frac{\alpha v_{0}}{\partial r} \right) + 2 \left(\beta, -\frac{\alpha v_{0}}{\partial r} \right) + 2 \left(\beta, -\frac{\alpha v_{0}}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r} \right) \right] + W_{c} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial u \omega}{\partial r} + \alpha W^{2} \left(\beta, \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r} \right) \right] + W_{c} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial u \omega}{\partial r} + \alpha W^{2} \left(\beta, \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r} \right) \right] + W_{c} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial u \omega}{\partial r} + \alpha W^{2} \left(\beta, \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r} \right) \right] + W_{c} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial u \omega}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial u \omega}{\partial r} \right] + W_{c} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right) \right] + W_{c} \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right] - \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right] \right] + W_{c} \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right] + W_{c} \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right] + W_{c} \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right] \right] + W_{c} \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right] + W_{c} \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right] + W_{c} \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right] \right] + W_{c} \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right] + W_{c} \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right] + W_{$$

Current Results

- GR explosion models for $11.2 M_{\odot}$ and $15 M_{\odot}$ progenitors, evolved several 100ms into the explosion
- Questions to be addressed:
 - Neutrino & gravitational wave signal for different phases (accretion, explosion)
 - Influence of GR on these observables (e.g. typical frequencies of gravitational waves & neutrino luminosity fluctuations)
 - Heating conditions in GR (may help somewhat for the explosion for more massive progenitors)









Translating the dynamics into the v-signal



Translating the dynamics into the v-signal



Neutrinos from 2D Explosion Models

- Summary of conspicuous features
 - SASI-induced oscillatory anisotropies as in Newtonian case (frequency 50...100Hz → SASI frequencies), cp. Ott et al. (2008), Marek et al. (2009), Lund et al. (2010), Brandt et al. (2011) for fluctuations
 - High v_e and anti-v_e luminosities after the onset of the explosion for more massive progenitor → nucleosynthesis
 - Large (10..20%) emission anisotropy for strongly asymmetric explosion
- Perspectives for non-linear flavour oscillation? Viability for early phase doubtful according to recent studies (Dasgupta et al. 2011, Chakraborty et al. 2011)



Neutrino mean energy & luminosity at gain radius: GR vs. Newtonian approximation (cp. Bruenn et al. 2001)

Neutrinos from 2D Explosion Models

- Summary of conspicuous features
 - SASI-induced oscillatory anisotropies as in Newtonian case (frequency 50...100Hz → SASI frequencies), cp. Ott et al. (2008), Marek et al. (2009), Lund et al. (2010), Brandt et al. (2011) for fluctuations
 - High v_e and anti-v_e luminosities after the onset of the explosion for more massive progenitor → nucleosynthesis
 - Large (10..20%) emission anisotropy for strongly asymmetric explosion
- Perspectives for non-linear flavour oscillation? Viability for early phase doubtful according to recent studies (Dasgupta et al. 2011, Chakraborty et al. 2011)



775ms after bounce



Gravitational Wave

- Image: Provision of the second state of the second stat Yakunin et al. 2010):
 - Prompt convection signal
 - Stochastic hot-bubble signal with shifting frequency peak
 - "tail" during explosion
- Amplitudes also similar
- What about the typical frequencies?



Gravitational Wave Signal

- Signal exhibits features familiar from simulations with Newtonian hydro (e.g. Marek et al. 2009, Murphy et al. 2009, Yakunin et al. 2010):
 - Prompt convection signal
 - Stochastic hot-bubble signal with shifting frequency peak
 - "tail" during explosion
- Amplitudes also similar
- What about the typical frequencies?



Spectral energy distribution for different time intervals, $15M_{\odot}$ model

Gravitational Wave Signal

- Frequency most sensitive to GR effects
- Huge differences compared to Newtonian case:
 - PNS convection: +60...70%
 - Hot bubble convection: +20...50%
- Simulations with effective gravitational potential closer to GR, but no perfect match
- Influence of GR comparable to or larger than that of the EoS
- Strong sensitivity to the transport treatment (cooling region!), cp. frequencies of Murphy et al. (2009)



What About 3D Effects?

- Explosion geometry & strength of anisotropies dependent on dimensionality
- 3D modelling indispensable, but simulation to ≈1s with full transport not available yet
- Simpler, parametrized schemes as an avenues towards exploratory studies (observables, explosion mechanism)



Simulations: Florian Hanke; Visualization: Elena Erastova, Markus Rampp (RZG)

Neutrino & Matter Anisotropies in 3D



Neutrino flux asymmetry

E.Müller, Janka & Wongwathanarat (submitted)

Gravitational Wave Signal



Normalized spectrogram, $15 M_{\odot}$ model

- Luminosity fluctuations less pronounced than in 2D
- Gravitational wave strain also somewhat smaller
- Not a final answer (model limitations)



Outlook



- Non-spherical motion of matter & anisotropic neutrino emission intimately tied to model dynamics (time of explosion, strength of SASI & convection)
- SASI (presence of sloshing or spiral mode) & convection in turn possibly strongly dependent on heating conditions, neutron star compactness, etc.
- Impact of dimensionality (3D vs. 2D) not yet well understood
- Self-consistent 3D simulations required!