Neutrino Signatures of Supernova SASI - now in 3D

HAvSE 2011, Hamburg July 20th, 2011 Tina Lund

Neutrino Signatures of Supernovae SASI

- Standing Accretion Shock Instability - SASI
- 2D vs 3D
- Observable signals in IceCube
- Conclusions



Standing Accretion Shock Instability



- Energy loss halts shock wave → Standing Accretion Shock.
- SASI : instability → perturbs shock front → R_{shock} increases and pulsates.
- Large R_{shock}→ infalling material longer time in neutrino heating area.
- More energy → shock wave revived → final explosion.

[H.-Th. Janka et al.]

SASI – in 2D

Non-rotating 15 M_{sun}

Rotating 15 M_{sun}



[A. Marek, H.-Th. Janka & E. Müller, 2009]

[A. Marek & H.-Th. Janka, 2008]

Effects of SASI



[Lund et al, 2010.]

IceCube – Cherenkov telescope

 Digital Optical Modules with photo-multiplier tubes.

$$\bar{\nu}_e + p \to n + e^+$$

- Optimized for energy range: $1 \text{ TeV} \le E \le 1 \text{ PeV}$
- SN anti- v_e energy:

 $E \sim 12 - 18 \text{ MeV}$

- Not entire Cherenkov cone only one photon per interaction.
- Dark Current noise in IceCube:

 $\Gamma_{\rm noise} = 1344 \,{\rm ms}^{-1}.$



IceCube event rates

Expected eventrate in IceCube:

$$R_{\bar{\nu}_e} = 114 \text{ ms}^{-1} \frac{L_{\bar{\nu}_e}}{10^{52} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \left(\frac{10 \text{ kpc}}{D}\right)^2 \left(\frac{E_{\text{rms}}}{15 \text{ MeV}}\right)^2 \qquad \qquad E_{\text{rms}}^2 = \frac{\langle E^3 \rangle}{\langle E \rangle}$$

 Instantaneous rate for 2D at 10 kpc:

 $\Gamma_{_{\rm SN,\,2D}}$ ~ 900 ms⁻¹

 Instantaneous rate for 3D at 1 kpc:

 $\Gamma_{_{\rm SN, 3D}} \sim 55000 \ {\rm ms^{-1}}$



[Lund et al, 2010.]

Power spectrum

• Fourier transform to investigate features in the time signal.

Nyquist frequency is 300 Hz due to IceCube binning.

Used Hanning window to avoid edge effects.

Results - 2D



 $15 M_{sun}$, LS EoS

[Lund et al, 2010.]

SASI – in 3D

W15-4



L15-3



[E. Müller, H.-Th. Janka & A. Wongwathanarat, 2011]

Rates in 3D



At 1 kpc

[Lund et al, 2011, in preparation.]

3D pre-explosion phase rate



At 1 kpc

[Lund et al, 2011, in preparation.]

Results - 3D



At 1 kpc

[Lund et al, 2011, in preparation.]

Stastistical effects



N20 at 2 kpc

Stastistical effects



N20 at 2 kpc

Conclusion



- IceCube usefull despite lacking energy information.
- Weaker SASI in 3D models.
- SASI effects can be observed → better understanding of SN.
- If observed short-lived mechanisms ruled out.
- Need Milky Way SN.

Thank you!

References

- T. Lund, A. Marek, C. Lunardini, H-Th. Janka, G. Raffelt, "Fast time variations of supernova neutrino fluxes and their detectability", Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 063007 [arXiv:1006.1889]
- T. Lund, A. Wongwathanrat, H-Th. Janka, E. Müller, G. Raffelt, "Fast time variations of supernova neutrino signals from 3-dimensional models", (2011) in preparation
- H.-Th. Janka et al, "Theory of core-collapse supernovae", Physics Reports 442 (2007) 38-74.
- A. Marek & H.-Th. Janka, "Delayed neutrino-driven supernova explosions aided by the standing accretion-shock instability", [arXiv: 0708.3372v2.]
- A. Marek, H. Th. Janka and E. Muller "Equation-of-state dependent features in shock-oscillation modulated neutrino and gravitational-wave signals from supernova", Astron. Astrophys. 496, 475 (2009) [arXiv:0808.4136v1]
- F. Halzen & G. G. Raffelt "Reconstructing the super-nova bounce time with neutrinos in IceCube", Phys. Rev. D 80, 087301 (2009) [arXiv:0908.2317v1 [astro-ph.HE]].
- E. Müller, H.-Th. Janka and A. Wongwathanarat., "Parametrized 3D models of neutrino-driven supernova explosions. Neutrino emission asymmetries and gravitational-wave signals ", [arXiv: 1106.6301v1]
- IceCube webpages: http://icecube.wisc.edu/ and http://gallery.icecube.wisc.edu/external/icecube-concept/